

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ERIN M. SUNSERI
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 BLAIR MCGREGOR
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 276965
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 321-5551
6 Facsimile: (916) 732-7920
E-mail: Blair.McGregor@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC 2026-2099

13 **TODD PIERSON GRECIAN**
14 **AKA TODD PETERSON GRECIAN**
15 **AKA TODD DOUGLAS GRECIAN**
16 **9 Chesterwood**
17 **Aliso Viejo, CA 92656**

ACCUSATION

Chiropractic License No. DC 27603

Respondent.

18
19 **PARTIES**

20 1. Kristin Walker (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
21 the Executive Officer of the Board of Chiropractic Examiners (Board), Department of Consumer
22 Affairs.

23 2. On or about May 18, 2001, the Board issued Chiropractic License Number DC 27603
24 to Todd Pierson Grecian, also known as Todd Peterson Grecian, also known as Todd Douglas
25 Grecian (Respondent). The Chiropractic License was in full force and effect at all times relevant
26 to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2026, unless renewed.

27 ///

28 ///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following sections of the Chiropractic Act (Act).¹

4. Section 10 of the Act states, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license to practice chiropractic or may place the license on probation for violations of the rules and regulations adopted by the Board or for any cause specified in the Chiropractic Initiative Act.

5. Business and Professions Code section 1005 states:

The provisions of Sections 12.5, 23.9, 29.5, 30, 31, 35, 104, 114, 115, 119, 121, 121.5, 125, 125.6, 136, 137, 140, 141, 143, 163.5, 461, 462, 475, 480, 484, 485, 487, 489, 490, 490.5, 491, 494, 495, 496, 498, 499, 510, 511, 512, 701, 702, 703, 704, 710, 716, 730.5, 731, and 851 are applicable to persons licensed by the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners under the Chiropractic Act.

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 372 states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by the board, or its suspension, or forfeiture by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Business and Professions Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

8. Business and Professions Code section 493 states:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact.

¹ The Chiropractic Act, an initiative measure approved by the electors November 7, 1922, was not included in the Business and Professions Code by the legislature, but is in West's Annotated California Codes §§ 1000-1 to 1000-19, and Deering's California Codes, Appendix I.

(b) (1) Criteria for determining whether a crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession the board regulates shall include all of the following:

(A) The nature and gravity of the offense.

(B) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense.

(C) The nature and duties of the profession.

....

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 316.5, states:

(a) For the purposes of the denial, suspension or revocation of a license pursuant to Section 141 of the Business and Professions Code, Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, or a violation of Section 10(b) of the Chiropractic Initiative Act of California, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee, if, to a substantial degree, it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare.

(b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the Board shall consider all of the following criteria:

(1) The nature and gravity of the offense.

(2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense.

(3) The nature and duties of a chiropractor.

(c) For purposes of subdivision (a), a substantially related crime, professional misconduct, or act shall include, but is not limited to, the following:

...

(8) Conviction or act involving the use of drugs or alcohol to an extent or in a manner dangerous to the individual or the public.

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 317, states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct which has been brought to its attention, or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

...

(e) Any conduct which has endangered or is likely to endanger the health, welfare, or safety of the public;

1 (f) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of
2 any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to
3 be dangerous or injurious to oneself, or to any other person or to the public, or to
the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to
the public the practice authorized by the license;

4 (g) Conviction of a crime which is substantially related to the
5 qualifications, functions or duties of a chiropractor;

6 . . .

7 (i) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving
8 the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic
beverage, or any combination of those substances.

9

10 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 327, states:

11 (a) When considering the suspension or revocation of a license under Section
12 490 of the Business and Professions Code on the ground that the person holding the
license has been convicted of a crime, the Board shall consider whether the licensee
13 has made a showing of rehabilitation if the licensee completed the criminal sentence
at issue without a violation of parole or probation. In making this determination, the
Board shall consider the following criteria:

14 (1) Nature and gravity of the crime(s).

15 (2) The length(s) of the applicable parole or probation period(s).

16 (3) The extent to which the applicable parole or probation period was
17 shortened or lengthened, and the reason(s) the period was modified.

18 (4) The terms or conditions of parole or probation and the extent to which
19 they bear on the licensee's rehabilitation.

20 (5) The extent to which the terms or conditions of parole or probation
were modified, and the reason(s) for modification.

21 (b) If the licensee has not completed the criminal sentence without a violation
22 of parole or probation, or the Board determines that the licensee did not make a
showing of rehabilitation based on the criteria in subdivision (a), or the suspension or
23 revocation is based upon a disciplinary action as described in Section 141 of the
Business and Professions Code, or the suspension or revocation is based upon one or
24 more of the grounds specified in Section 10(b) of the Chiropractic Initiative Act of
California, the Board shall apply the following criteria in evaluating the licensee's
rehabilitation:

25 (1) Nature and gravity of the act(s), disciplinary action(s), or crime(s);

26 (2) Total criminal record.

27 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s), disciplinary
28 action(s), or crime(s).

(4) Whether the licensee has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.

(5) If applicable, evidence of dismissal proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(6) The criteria in subdivision (a)(1) through (5), as applicable.

(7) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

COST RECOVERY

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 317.5, subdivision (a), states:

In any order in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Board of Chiropractic Examiners, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the Chiropractic Initiative Act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. On January 8, 2026, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Todd Pierson Grecian*, Orange County Superior Court case number 24HM09512, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI)), and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.08% or higher), with the aggravating allegation of Vehicle Code section 23538, subdivision (b)(2) (BAC of 0.20% or higher), both misdemeanors. In his written plea, Respondent wrote, "On November 1, 2024, in Orange County, California, I did unlawfully drive under the influence of alcohol and with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or greater, to wit: 0.219%. I also suffered a previous conviction for DUI with a date of violation on April 20, 2024, with a date of conviction on January 8, 2026, in case no. 24WM11109." Respondent was sentenced to 90 days in Orange County Jail and to informal probation for a period of five years. The terms of his probation require him to complete an 18-month Multiple Offender Alcohol Program, install an ignition interlock device (IID) in his vehicle, as well as pay fines and fees, among other requirements. Additionally, Respondent's driver's license was suspended for two years.

///

1 14. The circumstances that led to the conviction in case number 24HM09512 are as
2 follows. On or about November 1, 2024, at approximately 10:50 p.m., Orange County Sheriff's
3 Department deputies conducted a traffic enforcement stop after witnessing a driver straddling two
4 lanes. Upon making contact with the driver, later identified as Respondent, one deputy
5 immediately detected a mild odor of an alcoholic beverage. The other deputy opined that
6 Respondent was not under the influence, so the deputies issued a warning to Respondent. About
7 an hour later, at approximately 11:47 p.m., dispatch received a call in reference to a two-vehicle
8 collision. The deputies responded to the scene and determined that Respondent was involved in
9 the collision. Respondent displayed several indications of impairment when performing
10 standardized field sobriety tests. Respondent submitted to a chemical blood test, which yielded
11 results of 0.219% BAC.

12 15. On January 8, 2026, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of*
13 *California v. Todd Pierson Grecian*, Orange County Superior Court case number 24WM11109,
14 Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152,
15 subdivision (a) (DUI) [Count 1], Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with BAC
16 of 0.08% or higher) [Count 2], and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (g) (DUI of drugs
17 and alcohol) [Count 3], with the aggravating allegations of Vehicle Code section 23578 (BAC of
18 0.15% or higher), all three misdemeanors. In his written plea, Respondent wrote, "On or about
19 4/20/2024 in Orange County, CA, I did unlawfully drive a motor vehicle while under the
20 combined influence of alchol [sic] and drugs. My blood alchol [sic] concentration was above
21 .08% to wit - .155% and I was under the influence of diphenhydramine, marijuana, and
22 hydrocodone."² As to Count 1, Respondent was ordered to pay fines and fees. Sentencing as to
23 Counts 2 and 3 were stayed pursuant to Penal Code section 654. Restitution was transferred to
24 case number 24HM09512.

25 16. The circumstances that led to the conviction in case number 24WM11109 are as
26 follows. On or about April 20, 2024, California Highway Patrol officers responded to a call in

27 ² Hydrocodone is Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
28 section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code
section 4022.

1 reference to a single vehicle collision involving property damage. Upon making contact with
2 Respondent, the officers observed Respondent display objective signs and symptoms of
3 intoxication, including watery eyes and the odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from his breath
4 and person. During questioning, Respondent admitted to consuming alcohol prior to driving.
5 Following an investigation, officers determined that Respondent was unable to maintain control
6 of his vehicle while turning and collided with a concrete wall. Respondent further displayed
7 indications of intoxication while performing standardized field sobriety tests. Respondent
8 submitted to a chemical blood test, which yielded results of 0.155% BAC.

9 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)**

11 17. Respondent has subjected his chiropractic license to disciplinary action under Section
12 10 of the Act, Business and Professions Code section 490, and California Code of Regulations,
13 title 16, section 317, subdivision (g), in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially
14 related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a chiropractor, as more fully set forth in the
15 Factual Allegations, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

16 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Unprofessional Conduct – Conduct Likely to Endanger Public)**

18 18. Respondent has subjected his chiropractic license to disciplinary action under Section
19 10 of the Act and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 317, subdivision (e), in that he
20 engaged in conduct which has endangered or is likely to endanger the health, welfare, or safety of
21 the public, as more fully set forth in the Factual Allegations, which are incorporated herein by this
22 reference.

23 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous Use of Drugs and Alcohol)**

25 19. Respondent has subjected his chiropractic license to disciplinary action under Section
26 10 of the Act and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 317, subdivision (f), in that he
27 used alcohol and drugs to an extent as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or others, as more
28 fully set forth in the Factual Allegations, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

1 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Unprofessional Conduct – Multiple Drug- or Alcohol-Related Convictions)**

3 20. Respondent has subjected his chiropractic license to disciplinary action under Section
4 10 of the Act and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 317, subdivision (i), in that he
5 was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving drugs or alcohol, as more fully set forth
6 in the Factual Allegations, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

7 **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

8 21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
9 Complainant alleges that on or about December 2, 2024, the Department of Motor Vehicles
10 suspended Respondent's driving privilege pursuant to Vehicle Code sections 13353.2 and 13382,
11 based on his arrests for driving under the influence as more fully set forth in paragraphs 13
12 through 16, above, which are incorporated herein by this reference. On or about January 7, 2025,
13 as a condition of his release in Orange County Superior Court case numbers 24WM11109 and
14 24HM09512, the court ordered Respondent not to drive without a valid license.

15 22. On or about February 21, 2025, while Respondent's driving privilege remained
16 suspended and the court's order remained in effect, Orange County Sheriff's deputies stopped
17 Respondent for violating Vehicle Code section 21453, subsection (a) (failure to stop at a red
18 light). During the enforcement stop, deputies determined that Respondent's driving privilege was
19 suspended and that the registration of the vehicle he was driving had expired in August 2023.
20 Respondent was cited for driving while his driving privilege was suspended.

21 23. On January 8, 2026, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of*
22 *California v. Todd Pierson Grecian*, Orange County Superior Court case number 25HM01989,
23 Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1,
24 subdivision (a) (driving when driving privilege suspended) [Count 1]. Respondent was ordered to
25 pay fines and fees.

26 **PRAYER**

27 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
28 and that following the hearing, the Board of Chiropractic Examiners issue a decision:

1 1. Revoking or suspending Chiropractic License Number DC 27603, issued to
2 Respondent Todd Pierson Grecian, also known as Todd Peterson Grecian, also known as Todd
3 Douglas Grecian;

4 2. Ordering Respondent Todd Pierson Grecian, also known as Todd Peterson Grecian,
5 also known as Todd Douglas Grecian, to pay the Board of Chiropractic Examiners the reasonable
6 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Title 16, California Code of
7 Regulations, section 317.5, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and,

8 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9

10 DATED: 7/31/2026

Signature on File

KRISTIN WALKER
Executive Officer
Board of Chiropractic Examiners
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

11
12
13
14
15 SD2026801379 / 85767977.docx
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28